

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | I | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------------|---------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/663,464 09/16/2003 | | 09/16/2003 | Peter Campochiaro | OP/4-32679A | 2190 |
| 1095 | 7590 | 11/23/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| NOVARTI CORPORA | | LLECTUAL PROPER | FAY, ZOHREH A | | |
| ONE HEAL | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| EAST HAN | OVER, N | NJ 07936-1080 | 1614 | | |
| | ŕ | | | 2021 | |

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | 10/663,464 | CAMPOCHIARO ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Zohreh Fay | 1614 | | | |
| David f | The MAILING DATE of this commun | ication appears on the cover sheet | with the correspondence address | | | |
| THE - External after aft | MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI ensions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 of period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Responsive to communication(s) file | CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC will, by statute, cause the application to become a fiter the mailing date of this communication, even | a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrice. | e withdrawn from consideration. | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | |
| 10) | The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to | a) accepted or b) objected to tion to the drawing(s) be held in abeya the correction is required if the drawing | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| a)[| 2. Certified copies of the priority of | documents have been received. documents have been received in A of the priority documents have beer nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No received in this National Stage | | | |
| Attachment | | | | | | |
| 2) D Notice 3) D Inform | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P1 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date | O-948) Paper No(| Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/663,464

Art Unit: 1614

Claims 1-19 are presented for examination.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for certain retinal disease, does not reasonably provide enablement for all retinal diseases. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure meets the enablement requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, have been described in In re Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988). Among these factors are:

1) The nature of the invention:

The claims are drawn to a method for the delivery of phthalazine to the retina of a subject afflicted with retinal disease.

2) The state of the prior art:

The prior art does not recognize that the treatment of all retinal disorders can be done with one group of compounds. According to LANGE, Current Medical Diagnosis & Treatment, the treatment for macular degeneration and diabetic retinopathy is different.

3) The relative skill of those in the art:

The relative skill of those in the art is high.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,464 Page 3

Art Unit: 1614

4) The predictability and unpredictability of the art:

The unpredictability of the pharmaceutical and chemical art is high.

5) The breadth of the claims:

The claims are very broad and encompass the use of a phthalazine for the treatment of any retinal disease.

6) The amount of direction or guidance presented:

Applicant's specification provides guidance and it is only enabled for the treatment of certain retinal disorders. However, the specification provides no guidance, to enable one of ordinary skilled in the art to use the invention commensurate in scope with the claims. In re Dreshfield, 110 F.2d 235, 45 USPQ 36 (CCPA 1940), gives this general rule: "It is well settled that in cases involving chemical and chemical compounds, which differ radically in their properties it must appear in an applicant's specification either by enumeration of a sufficient number of the members of a group or by other appropriate language, that the chemicals or chemical combinations included in the claims are capable of accomplishing the desired results". Applicant's specification does not set forth a representative phthalazine compounds capable of treating a representative number of retinal disorders.

7) The presence or absence of working examples:

The examples in applicant's specification are drawn to the use of one phthalazine compound to demonstrate a lower retinal to lung leakage.

8) The quantity of experimentation necessary:

Application/Control Number: 10/663,464

Art Unit: 1614

Since compound structure and activity for each pharmaceutical use must be determined from case to case by painstaking experimental study, one of ordinary skill in the art would be burdened with undue experimentation to determine all phthalazine compounds which are capable of treating all retinal disorders.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-17 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,271,233. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because overlap. The claims of the instant application are drawn to a method for the delivery of phthalazine to retinal of a subject for the treatment of retinal diseases in general. The dependent claims are drawn to the specific retinal disorders as covered by the U.S. Patent. It would have been obvious from the U.S. Patent to use a phthalazine for the treatment of a retinal disorder.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/663,464

Art Unit: 1614

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by WO 98/35958. The WO Patent teaches the use of the claimed compounds in a pharmaceutical formulation with angiogenesis inhibitory activity. See the abstract. To use an old composition in a squeezable container does not create a patentably distinct composition.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zohreh Fay whose telephone number is (571) 272-0573. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached on (571) 272-0951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

 $\mathsf{Z}.\mathsf{F}$

Art Unit: 1614

Enlish For